

Willows High School

School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2011-12 School Year

Published During 2012-13

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

EdData Partnership Web Site

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2012-13)

School Contact Information	
School Name	Willows High School
Street	203 North Murdock Avenue
City, State, Zip	Willows, CA 95988
Phone Number	(530) 934-6611
Principal	Jerry Smith
E-mail Address	jsmith@willowsunified.org
CDS Code	11-62661-1132861

District Contact Information	
District Name	Willows Unified School District
Phone Number	530.934.6600
Web Site	www.willowsunified.org
Superintendent	Mort Geivett, Ed.D.
E-mail Address	mgeivett@willowsunified.org

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Willows High School is a four-year comprehensive high school. It is located in Glenn County in the Northern Sacramento Valley. Willows High School is one of four schools in the Willows Unified School District with an approximate enrollment of 491.

Willows High School was granted a six-year accreditation in 2006 and prides itself in preparing students for several different avenues beyond high school. On the average, 40% of each class over the last five years took the SAT and 19% took the ACT as part of their preparation for higher education; the many Career Technical Education Programs offered at the school provides students options for vocational pathways.

There is a full slate of athletic teams from freshmen sports to varsity sports for both males and females to participate in at Willows High School. These include football, volleyball, swimming, tennis, basketball, wrestling, soccer, track, golf, baseball and softball. The high school is a member of the Sacramento Valley League under the direction of the Northern Section of the California Interscholastic Federation. In addition to the athletics program, there are clubs and leadership organizations such as Cultural Awareness Club, Friday Night Live, S.W.A.T. (Students Working Against Tobacco), Future Farmers of America, California Scholastic Federation, Willows High Music Club, Interact Club, Academic Decathlon, and the Spanish Club.

In a recent parent survey, the parents indicated they feel a sense of involvement, feel welcome and they support the school and its goals. There are two active parent/community support organizations, the Music Boosters and the Willows High School Boosters. Both organizations provide invaluable assistance in the operation of the athletic, academic and music programs. Each year the Willows High Boosters' clubs donate approximately \$20,000 annually to those programs. Also, each year the parents of the seniors organize a Sober Grad Night. In addition, there are many organizations and service clubs that provide scholarships and educational grants to our deserving graduating seniors. We continue to improve and upgrade our access to the world of knowledge via computer technology and the Internet for our staff and students. We are preparing to meet the challenge of our changing local student population and the curricular changes that are being implemented by the school board, the State Department of Education and the Governor. We are committed to providing the best education possible for all our students in a safe, inviting atmosphere designed with our students' success in mind.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

Parents have many opportunities to become involved in their child's education: Back to School Night, Project Night, Willows High Booster Club, Music Booster Club, School Site Council, English Language Advisory Committees, and as a Parent Volunteer in the classroom and through the many clubs on campus.

Please contact the school principal, Jerry Smith at 530-934-6611, to find out how you can participate.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2011-12)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 9	128
Grade 10	121
Grade 11	121
Grade 12	108
Ungraded Secondary	
Total Enrollment	478

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2011-12)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.3	White	46.4
American Indian or Alaska Native	2.7	Two or More Races	0
Asian	5.2	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	56.49
Filipino	0.4	English Learners	28.45
Hispanic or Latino	43.1	Students with Disabilities	10.70
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.4		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2009-10				2010-11				2011-12			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	24	10	8	2	20.1	9	11	0	32.07	1	5	7
Mathematics	24	9	8	2	19.8	12	4	2	27.85	3	8	3
Science	23	5	7	0	21.6	7	4	2	27.21	3	7	4
Social Science	30	1	10	5	25.8	5	4	2	32.13	0	11	4

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

An extensive school safety plan, updated annually, in accordance with SB 187, has been developed and implemented. This plan includes the following emergency procedures: traumatic incidents, imminent danger procedure-Code Red, evacuation/relocation procedure, civil defense/disorder, bomb threat/bomb emergency, earthquake, chemical spill, crime in progress, and fire/explosion. Staff and students practice fire, earthquake, and the Code Red Lockdown each semester. Teachers and students are familiar with the procedures. Safety is high priority at Willows High School.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate*	School			District		
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Suspensions	28.37	11.2	8.15	30.44	10.0	4.11
Expulsions	1.22	0.0	0.0	0.59	.25	0.0

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: 09/09/2012

Willows High School Construction Dates:

Ag Building – Unit 600 - 1947

Main Building/Boiler – 200 - 1948

Garage - 1950

Ag Storage/Greenhouse 1963

Cafetorium/Gym Unit – 100 - 1965

Industrial Arts Shops – Units 400-500 - 1976

Counseling – enclosed, was a porch in 1967

Willows High School prides itself in being a safe, clean, well-kept campus. Two full-time custodians clean the school on a daily basis with the restrooms being cleaned daily. District-wide maintenance and grounds personnel are available to all schools in the district, providing well-groomed landscaping and ensuring that the facilities are always in operational order.

In 1994, all rooms in the main building had air conditioning and heating installed. The biology, chemistry, physical science, home economics, EL rooms were refurbished with state modernization funding. The library has been modernized and an 18-station computer lab and is available for student use. In addition, a new 30- station computer lab is available to all students.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[]	[X]	[]	[]	

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Electrical: Electrical	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]	

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2011-12
With Full Credential	24	20	21	64
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	---

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	2	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	2	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2011-12)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100	0
All Schools in District	100	0
High-Poverty Schools in District	100	0
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0	0

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2011-12)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	1.75	250
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)		---
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)		---
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.39	---
Psychologist		---
Social Worker		---
Nurse	0.32	---
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist		---
Resource Specialist		---
Other		---

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2012-13)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: 05/2012

Based on requirements from the Williams Legislation, all students are to have the most current textbooks and the textbooks must be aligned to the standards. In all core curriculum areas, the most current, standards aligned textbooks have been purchased and every student has a textbook.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Language Network, Language of Literature (McDougal Littell)	Yes	0
Mathematics	Algebra 1, Algebra 2, Geometry, Pre-Calculus, Calculus (Prentice Hall)	Yes	0
Science	Biology An Everyday Experience, Science of Earth Systems, Biology Living Systems, Chemistry (Glencoe, Holt, & Thompson Learning)	Yes	0
History-Social Science	AP US History, American Reconstruction, Enduring Vision, The Americans, World History Patterns of Interaction (Houghton-Mifflin & McDougal Littell)	Yes	0
Foreign Language			
Health			
Visual and Performing Arts			
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)			

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	
School Site	7,674	981.	6,693.	\$65,167
District	---	---		\$66,847
Percent Difference: School Site and District	---	---		-2.5
State	---	---	\$5,455	\$57,948
Percent Difference: School Site and State	---	---	22.7	12.5

* **Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

** **Basic/Unrestricted expenditures** are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assist students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

We are required to report financial data from the 2009-10 school year by the California Department of Education. More recent financial data is available on request from the district office.

Spending per Student:

To make comparisons possible across schools and districts of varying sizes, we first report our overall spending per student. We base our calculations on our average daily attendance (ADA) for the 2009-10 school year.

We've broken down expenditures by the type of funds used to pay for them. Unrestricted funds can be used for any lawful purpose. Restricted funds, however, must be spent for specific purposes set out by legal requirements or the donor. Examples include funding for instructional materials, economic impact aid, and teacher and principal training funds.

Next to the figures for the district and state averages, we show the percentage by which the school's spending varies from the district and state averages. For example, we calculate the school's variance from the district average using this formula:

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$52,984	\$38,099
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$68,693	\$55,582
Highest Teacher Salary	\$82,760	\$71,884
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$105,436	\$88,790
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$107,274	\$93,519
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$115,632	\$95,671
Superintendent Salary	\$126,708	\$115,401
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	0	34%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	0	7%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

IX. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
English-Language Arts	41	39	35	41	42	43	52	54	56
Mathematics	16	13	16	37	35	34	48	50	51
Science	51	44	50	54	48	47	54	57	60
History-Social Science	40	30	22	38	31	24	44	48	49

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
All Students in the LEA	43	34	47	24
All Student at the School	35	16	50	22
Male	30	15	43	24
Female	42	17	60	18
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	50	13		33
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	29	13	43	14
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White	43	19	56	28
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	28	11	38	14
English Learners	11	4		
Students with Disabilities	5	4		
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal ESEA, also known as NCLB.

For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at <http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/>.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Subject	School			District			State		
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
English-Language Arts	46	48	46	43	45	46	54	59	56
Mathematics	51	52	47	48	49	45	54	56	58

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Group	English-Language Arts			Mathematics		
	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	54	29	17	55	39	7
All Students at the School	54	29	17	53	40	7
Male	63	25	13	62	34	4
Female	43	34	23	39	50	11
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino	61	24	16	58	36	6
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander						
White	42	38	21	47	45	8
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	62	22	17	64	30	7
English Learners						
Students with Disabilities						
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2011-12)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/>.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
9	14.5	29.9	29.9

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched “similar schools.” A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school’s academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school’s academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2009	2010	2011
Statewide	5	4	3
Similar Schools	2	2	1

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change		
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
All Students at the School	-4	-11	-26
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino	-6	-14	16
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White	16	9	-68
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	16	-37	-5
English Learners	-2	-45	20
Students with Disabilities			

* "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2012 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2012 Growth API at the school, district, and state level.

Group	2012 Growth API					
	School		District		State	
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API
All Students at the School	330	673	1,065	720	4,664,264	788
Black or African American	4		12	683	313,201	710
American Indian or Alaska Native	8		30	569	31,606	742
Asian	16	754	62	733	404,670	905
Filipino	1		1		124,824	869
Hispanic or Latino	144	657	484	691	2,425,230	740
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2		5		26,563	775
White	152	698	467	758	1,221,860	853
Two or More Races	0		0		88,428	849
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	179	630	672	680	2,779,680	737
English Learners	91	574	365	649	1,530,297	716
Students with Disabilities	36	366	104	574	530,935	607

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2011-12)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	No	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	No	No
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	No	No

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2012-13)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2011-2012	2009-2010
Year in Program Improvement	Year 2	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	3
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	---	75

XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information webpage at <http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/>. (Outside source)

California State University

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- Grades in specified courses and test scores
- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the CSU webpage at <http://www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml>. (Outside source)

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Dropout Rate (1-year)	4.6	11.4	10.6	5.6	12.9	14.5	5.7	16.6	14.4
Graduation Rate	89.55	81.40	85.84	85.03	78.87	80.65	78.59	80.53	76.26

* The National Center for Education Statistics graduation rate as reported in AYP is provided in this table.

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

This table displays, by student group, the percent of students who began the 2011-12 school year in grade twelve and were a part of the school's most recent graduating class, meeting all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

Group	Graduating Class of 2012		
	School	District	State
All Students	87.96	94.6	---
Black or African American	1.9	1.8	---
American Indian or Alaska Native	2.9	2.7	---
Asian	7.7	7.2	---
Filipino			---
Hispanic or Latino	38.5	40.5	---
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			---
White	49.0	47.7	---
Two or More Races			---
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	39.4	41.44	---
English Learners	13.5	14.4	---
Students with Disabilities	6.7	6.7	---

* "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about Career Technical Education (CTE) programs including:

- Programs and classes offered that are specifically focused on career preparation and or preparation for work
- How these programs and classes are integrated with academic courses and how they support academic achievement
- How the school addresses the needs of all students in career preparation and/or preparation for work, including needs unique to defined special populations of students
- The measurable outcomes of these programs and classes, and how they are evaluated
- State the primary representative of the district’s CTE advisory committee and the industries represented on the committee

Some high schools offer courses intended to help students prepare for the world of work. These career technical education courses (CTE, formerly known as vocational education) are open to all students.

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2011-12)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	545
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	24.03
% of CTE courses sequenced/articulated between the school/institutions of postsecondary education	22.61

Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2011-12 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	18.0
2010-11 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	17.0

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2011–12)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	0	---
English	0	---
Fine and Performing Arts	0	---
Foreign Language	0	---
Mathematics	0	---
Science	0	---
Social Science	0	---
All courses	0	0

* Where there are student course enrollments.

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance, and data reporting, etc.)?

Teachers take time each year to improve their teaching skills and to extend their knowledge of the subjects they teach. Time is set aside for their continuing education and professional development. Collaboration days are scheduled every other Wednesday with additional staff meetings to discuss and implement the direction for addressing standards, common assessments, and overall student performance based on prior years CST and CAHSEE scores, and to prepare for WASC Accreditation. Professional Learning Communities and collaboration are an important part of our academic process because academic excellence is important to us.